



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,210	01/21/2004	Kia Silverbrook	RRA02US	1354

24011 7590 05/07/2007
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD
393 DARLING STREET
BALMAIN, 2041
AUSTRALIA

EXAMINER

GOLDBERG, BRIAN J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2861

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/07/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/760,210

Applicant(s)

SILVERBROOK, KIA

Examiner

Brian Goldberg

Art Unit

2861

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 March 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pawlowski, Jr. et al. (US 5852459) in view of Reed et al. (US 6585348).
2. Regarding claim 1, Pawlowski, Jr. et al. disclose "providing the inkjet printer in at least first (18 of Fig 1A) and second (16 of Fig 1A) portions detachable from each other in a single operation of a latch (col 6 ln 48-53)...and the latch is configured to allow refilling of ink in the pagewidth printhead when the first and second portions are attached (see Figs 3B, 3F, 5A and 5B which all show that refilling occurs with the cartridge in the carriage)." Thus Pawlowski, Jr. et al. meet the claimed invention except "wherein the first portion includes the pagewidth printhead."
3. Reed et al. teach "wherein the first portion includes the pagewidth printhead (col 1 ln 59 – col 2 ln 9). Reed et al. teach the ability to interchange a pagewidth printhead cartridge and a more conventional reciprocating printhead cartridge. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to substitute a pagewidth printhead cartridge for the cartridge of Pawlowski, Jr. et al. One would have been motivated to so modify Pawlowski, Jr. et al. for the benefit of providing

a printhead that can print across an entire page in one pass and minimize the nozzle gap between adjacent printhead elements as stated by Drake et al. (US 5572244).

4. Regarding claim 2, Pawlowski, Jr. et al. further disclose "wherein the first portion (18) further includes a printing fluid storage for storing printing fluids (col 4 ln 61-63, col 5 ln 4-5)."

5. Regarding claim 3, Pawlowski, Jr. et al. further disclose "wherein the first portion (18 of Fig 1A or 50 of Fig 3B) is a printer cartridge removably received within said second portion (col 4 ln 38-40 and Fig 3B)."

6. Regarding claim 4, Pawlowski, Jr. et al. further disclose "wherein said second portion (16 of Fig 1A or 48 of Fig 3) is a printer cradle unit having a cavity adapted to receive said printer cartridge (col 6 ln 26-28 and Fig 3A)."

7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pawlowski, Jr. et al. in view of Reed et al. and further in view of Waller et al. (US 6250738).

8. Pawlowski, Jr. et al. in view of Reed et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above regarding claim 4. Thus Pawlowski, Jr. et al. in view of Reed et al. meet the claimed invention except "wherein the printer cradle unit includes an electrical control unit."

9. Waller et al. teach providing "an electrical control unit (22 of Fig 1)." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to provide an electrical control unit. One would have been motivated to so modify Pawlowski, Jr. et al. in view of Reed et al. for the benefit of maintaining control

Art Unit: 2861

over the operation of the device internally and integrally as part of the cradle unit by receiving data to be printed, storing it in memory, and provide timing control, as set forth by Waller et al. in column 4, lines 51-59.

10. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pawlowski, Jr. et al. in view of Reed et al. and Waller et al. and further in view of Silverbrook et al. (US 6439908).

11. Regarding claim 6, Pawlowski, Jr. et al. in view of Reed et al. and Waller et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above regarding claim 5. Thus Pawlowski, Jr. et al. in view of Reed et al. and Waller et al. meet the claimed invention except "wherein the printer cradle unit includes power and data connectors to mate with corresponding data and power connectors provided on said printer cartridge to facilitate operational control of said printer cartridge."

12. Silverbrook et al. teaches "wherein the printer cradle unit includes power and data connectors (56 of Fig 14) to mate with corresponding data and power connectors (48 of Fig 8) provided on said printer cartridge to facilitate operational control of said printer cartridge (col 3 ln 59-64)." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to provide power and data connectors. One would have been motivated to so modify Pawlowski, Jr. et al. in view of Reed et al. and Waller et al. for the benefit of maintaining electrical contact and getting data and power to the printhead as stated by Silverbrook et al.

Regarding claim 7, Waller et al. further teach providing "a print media handling system (18 of Fig 1)." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

the time of the applicant's invention to include a print media handling system. One would have been motivated to so modify Pawlowski, Jr. et al. in view of Reed et al. and Silverbrook et al. for the benefit of providing the capability of moving the media sheet into the print zone, as stated by Waller et al. in column 4, lines 37-38.

Response to Arguments


13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1, and claims 2-7 dependent therefrom, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Goldberg whose telephone number is 571-272-2728. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9AM-5PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Luu can be reached on 571-272-7663. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2861

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brian Goldberg 
AU 2861
April 27, 2007


LAMSON NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
5/01/07